The Linear Point: A cleaner cosmological standard ruler

Stefano Anselmi [1,2,3],* Glenn D. Starkman[1], Pier-Stefano Corasaniti[2], Ravi K. Sheth[4,5], and Idit Zehavi[6]
[1] *Department of Physics/CERCA/Institute for the Science of Origins, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106-7079 – USA*
[2] *LUTH, UMR 8102 CNRS, Observatoire de Paris, PSL Research University, Université Paris Diderot, 92190 Meudon – France*
[3] *Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, CNRS UMR 7095 and UPMC, 98bis, bd Arago, F-75014 Paris – France*
[4] *Center for Particle Cosmology, University of Pennsylvania, 209 S. 33rd St., Philadelphia, PA 19104 – USA*
[5] *The Abdus Salam International Center for Theoretical Physics, Strada Costiera, 11, Trieste 34151 – Italy and*
[6] *Department of Astronomy, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106-7079 – USA*

arXiv:1703.01275v1 [astro-ph.CO] 3 Mar 2017

We show how a characteristic length scale imprinted in the galaxy 2-point correlation function, dubbed the *linear point*, can serve as a comoving cosmological standard ruler. In contrast to the Baryon Acoustic Oscillation peak location, this scale is constant in redshift and it is not affected by non-linear effects to within 0.5 percent precision. We measure the location of the linear point in the galaxy correlation function of the LOWZ and CMASS samples from the Twelfth Data Release (DR12) of the Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey (BOSS) collaboration. We combine our linear point measurement with Cosmic Microwave Background constraints from the Planck satellite to estimate the isotropic-volume distance $D_V(z)$ without relying on a model-template or reconstruction method. We find $D_V(0.32) = 1241 \pm 50$ Mpc and $D_V(0.57) = 2060 \pm 33$ Mpc respectively, which are consistent with the quoted values from the BOSS collaboration. This remarkable result suggests that all the distance information contained in the Baryon Acoustic Oscillations can be conveniently compressed into the single length associated with the linear point.

There is widespread consensus that Baryon Acoustic Oscillations (BAO) in the large-scale distribution of galaxies can be used to infer cosmic distances, thus providing insight on the nature of dark energy in the universe (e.g. [1–4]). Future galaxy surveys such as LSST[1], Euclid[2] and WFIRST[3] have been designed to measure the BAO signal in galaxy-clustering observables with statistical errors of a few percent. However, at this level of precision the BAO imprint differs from the linear theory prediction due to non-linear growth of the late-time clustering of the matter (e.g. [5–8]). Non-linear effects smear out the amplitude of the BAO signal and shift the location of the BAO peak in the galaxy 2-point correlation function, or equivalently, they damp and modify the locations of the BAO oscillations in the galaxy power spectrum. These effects can introduce systematic errors in the estimation of cosmic distances and consequently in inferred cosmological parameters. A 1% error in peak position leads to a 4% error in the determination of the dark energy equation of state at redshift $z = 1$ (e.g. [9]). Because of this, a number of techniques have been developed to standardize BAO distance measurements, although at the expense of introducing numerous caveats. Recent work by some of us has shown that there exists a characteristic point in the 2-point correlation function on BAO scales, which was dubbed the *linear point* (LP) because it is largely insensitive to non-linear effects [10].

In this letter, we demonstrate that the LP can cleanly and simply be used as a cosmological comoving standard ruler without using reconstruction methods or applying other theory-rich post-processing of the observational data. Thus, the LP restores the BAO to its originally envisaged status as a standard ruler, rather than a standardizable one.

Early in the history of the universe, overdensities in the nearly homogeneous dark matter, by then decoupled from the cosmological plasma of ordinary baryonic matter and radiation, began to collapse under gravity. This collapse generated spherical acoustic waves, which propagated outward from the collapsing overdensities. As the universe cooled, the photons in the plasma eventually decoupled from the baryons, and diffused away from the concentrations of baryons and dark matter. Meanwhile the baryons' momentum redshifted away leaving them nearly in place in the final location of the acoustic wavefront. The result was baryon and dark matter overdensities at the locations both of the original inhomogeneities and of the spherical wavefronts of the outward-going acoustic waves. These overdensities became preferred sites for galaxy formation. We are able therefore to observe the relic traces of these acoustic waves both in the cosmic microwave background (CMB) – the photons that decoupled from the plasma – and in the spatial correlations of cosmic structures which were assembled considerably later.

Because the overdensities all began to collapse at essentially the same time, and because the acoustic waves were propagating through a homogeneous background,

[1] https://www.lsst.org
[2] http://sci.esa.int/euclid/
[3] https://wfirst.gsfc.nasa.gov

the size that the spherical wave front reached, known as the sound horizon, is universal – the same for each sourcing overdensity. It depends, to be sure, on the expansion history of the universe during that epoch and the properties of the cosmological plasma, but not on the spectrum or amplitude of the fluctuations.

The initial overdensities and the resulting acoustic waves were of low amplitude, so the relevant early universe physics is very nearly linear. The properties of the acoustic oscillations and their impact on the CMB have therefore been computed precisely and accurately as a function of cosmological parameters. Measurements of the CMB temperature and polarization power spectra have consequently afforded us quite precise estimates of those cosmological parameters.

The evolution of cosmic structure is more complicated. Galaxies, the leading tracers of the BAO, are non-linear structures, so that determining the precise relation between the measured correlation function or power spectrum of galaxies and the primordial power spectrum and other cosmological properties involves understanding the non-linear growth of dark matter and associated baryonic structures, with all of the attendant mode-coupling and complicated baryonic physics.

A variety of techniques have been developed to extract information from the BAO (see e.g. [11–14]). However, to achieve the accuracy and precision necessary to make the BAO constraints relevant to modern cosmology, all current methods involve modeling the effects of non-linear physics on the BAO [6, 15]. For example, reconstruction methods [12, 14] correct for non-linear effects by displacing galaxies using the Zeldovich approximation. While this enhances the signal-to-noise and so leads to a more precise determination of cosmic distances, it comes at the cost of introducing model dependencies which, even when validated with the aid of N-body simulation analyses, do not correctly propagate the variations of non-linear effects with cosmological parameters.

Alternatively, one may search for features at BAO scales that are insensitive to the non-linearities of the matter distribution. The LP introduced in [10] is one such feature; it is well-approximated by the mid-point between the position of the peak and the dip in the 2-point correlation function of the matter density field as well as of biased tracers such as dark matter halos. In particular, in N-body simulations of a ΛCDM model the amplitude of the correlation function at the LP is only very weakly affected by non-linearities and scale dependent bias, while its comoving position remains unaltered compared to the linear theory prediction at percent-level precision independently of the normalisation amplitude of primordial power spectrum and the scalar spectral index. This is why the LP can serve as a clean standard ruler. In the following we show how this can be estimated from galaxy clustering data in a manner that is free of theoretical model assumptions.

Let us consider the monopole term of the 2-point correlation function of galaxies, ξ_0. This is usually expressed in terms of the comoving separation, $s(z)$. However, comoving distances are not directly measured; rather, galaxy redshifts are converted into comoving space (real space) by assuming a fiducial cosmology. Then, the data are fitted to a fixed fiducial template $\xi_0^{\rm fixed}(\alpha\, s_{\rm fid}(z))$ to determine the shift parameter α from which cosmic distance constraints are inferred (see e.g. [11, 16]). The dependence on the fiducial cosmology can be avoided altogether by working with the rescaled variable $y \equiv s(z)/D_V(z)$, where $D_V(z)$ is the conventional isotropic-volume distance estimator given by

$$D_V(z) \equiv \left[(1+z)^2 D_A(z)^2 \frac{cz}{H(z)}\right]^{1/3}, \qquad (1)$$

where $H(z)$ is the Hubble rate, $D_A(z)$ is the angular diameter distance and c is the speed of light. In fact, as pointed out in [17], in this rescaled variable the relation

$$\xi_0(s^{\rm fid}(z)/D_V^{\rm fid}(z)) \simeq \xi_0(s^{\rm true}(z)/D_V^{\rm true}(z))\,, \qquad (2)$$

holds to a very good approximation. Corrections due to the Alcock-Paczynski effect are negligible on BAO scales, provided that the fiducial value of the cosmic matter density is sufficiently close to the true value [18]. It is worth remarking that Eq. (2) is purely a geometric statement which applies only when $s^{\rm fid}(z)$ and $s^{\rm true}(z)$ are extracted from data without reference to a cosmology-dependent theoretical template $\xi_0^{\rm th}$.

Given the fact that the LP identifies a comoving scale in the correlation function that does not evolve (i.e. it is constant in comoving coordinates) and is immune to non-linear effects, we can exploit Eq. (2) in combination with CMB constraints to infer the cosmic distance at the central redshift of the galaxy survey sample, $\bar{z}$. More precisely, we can estimate $s_{LP}^{\rm true}(\bar{z})$ using CMB information since $s_{LP}^{\rm true}(\bar{z}) = s_{LP}^{\rm true}(z_{\rm lin})$, where $s_{LP}^{\rm true}(z_{\rm lin})$ is the linear point in the correlation function predicted from the linear theory say for the best-fit Planck cosmological model[4]. Substituting in Eq. (2) we have that

$$\frac{s_{LP}^{\rm true}(z_{\rm lin})}{D_V^{\rm true}(\bar{z})} = \frac{s_{LP}^{\rm fid}(\bar{z})}{D_V^{\rm fid}(\bar{z})} = y_{LP}(\bar{z}) \qquad (3)$$

where $y_{LP}(\bar{z})$ is the location of the rescaled LP measured in the galaxy correlation function at $\bar{z}$. Finally, the above equation can be inverted to infer the value of $D_V^{\rm true}(\bar{z})$.

We remark that $s_{LP}^{\rm true}(z_{\rm lin})$ is insensitive to the late time acceleration of the Universe. On the other hand, $y_{\rm LP}(\bar{z})$

[4] In practice we compute $s_{LP}^{\rm true}(z_{\rm lin})$ from the linear correlation function at sufficiently high redshift where the linear theory is valid (e.g. $z_{\rm lin} = 10$).

strongly depends on D_V, and hence on the acceleration at redshift $\bar{z}$. This is the relevant feature of a comoving standard ruler.

In the following we use the LP to estimate the isotropic-volume distance from the 2-point correlation functions in the LOWZ and CMASS galaxy samples obtained by the BOSS collaboration[5]. In particular we use the "pre-reconstruction" Data Release 12 (DR12) monopole correlation function presented in [19][6]. Galaxy redshifts and angles have been converted into comoving distances assuming a ΛCDM fiducial cosmology specified by the following parameter values: $\Omega_m = 0.29$, $\Omega_b h^2 = 0.02247$, $h = 0.7$, $n_s = 0.97$ and $\sigma_8 = 0.8$. The observed galaxy positions have not been corrected for peculiar motions. The central redshift of the LOWZ and CMASS samples are $\bar{z} = 0.32$ and 0.57, respectively.

We convert comoving distances s to y using the BOSS fiducial cosmology. The correlation function measurements around the BAO scales are shown in Fig. 1. We fit each $\xi_0(y)$ with a quintic polynomial and define the linear point as the midpoint of the peak and the dip in $\xi_0(y)$. We refer the reader to a companion paper [20] for a detailed description of our model-independent estimation of the LP, which we validated using synthetic data. As discussed in [10], we increase this value of LP by 0.5% to allow for a small secular evolution of s_{LP} from high to low redshifts. This caps the systematic error on the determination of the LP to 0.5% over the full range of redshifts. We find $y_{LP}(\bar{z}_{\rm CMASS-DR12} = 0.57) = 0.0671 \pm 0.0011$ and $y_{LP}(\bar{z}_{\rm LOWZ-DR12} = 0.57) = 0.1114 \pm 0.0044$.

We estimate $s_{LP}^{\rm true}(z_{\rm lin})$ in Eq. (3) for a ΛCDM cosmology best-fit to the Planck-TT,TE,EE+lowP anisotropy power spectra [21] from the linear matter correlation function $\xi_0(s)$ computed using the CAMB code [22]. We find $s_{LP}^{\rm Planck} = 138.24$ Mpc and neglect statistical errors due to the propagation of the Planck cosmological parameter uncertainties, which are expected to be negligible within the ΛCDM scenario as in the case of the sound horizon scale r_d[7]. Then, from Eq. (3) we have $D_V^{\rm LP}(\bar{z}) = s_{LP}^{\rm Planck}/y_{LP}(\bar{z})$ and derive

$$D_V^{\rm LP}(\bar{z}_{\rm CMASS-DR12} = 0.57) = (2060 \pm 33)\,{\rm Mpc}$$
$$D_V^{\rm LP}(\bar{z}_{\rm LOWZ-DR12} = 0.32) = (1241 \pm 50)\,{\rm Mpc}$$

These values are within 1σ of those reported by the BOSS collaboration[8]:

$$D_V^{\rm BOSS;CMASS-DR12}(\bar{z} = 0.57) = (2026 \pm 21){\rm Mpc}$$
$$D_V^{\rm BOSS;LOWZ-DR12}(\bar{z} = 0.32) = (1265 \pm 14){\rm Mpc}.$$



FIG. 1: Linear point measurement in the galaxy clustering correlation function monopole. Black symbols with error bars show the LOWZ and CMASS correlation functions released by the BOSS collaboration [19]. Red dots with error bars represent the linear point measurements and the continuous black line the quintic polynomial $\xi_0(y)$ interpolation needed to extract it.

[5] https://www.sdss3.org/surveys/boss.php

[6] The correlation function measurements are available at http://www.sdss3.org/science/boss_publications.php

[7] Notice that both s_{LP} and r_d are independent of the power spectrum of the primordial density fluctuation parameters [10]. So the r_d error and the s_{LP} error are expected to be of the same order.

[8] These values are obtained assuming $(r_d/r_d^{\rm fid}) = 0.9968$ with r_d given by the Planck-TT,TE,EE+lowP best-fit values of cosmological parameters and $r_d^{\rm fid} = 147.78$ Mpc in the [23] fiducial cosmology, different from the [19] fiducial cosmology.

The small differences in the central values can be attributed to the effect of the correlation function binning on the determination of the LP. Namely, the correlation function measurements released by [19] have a bin size of $8h^{-1}$Mpc. This is large enough to potentially bias the inferred LP scale up to 1% [20]. In order to reduce the impact of binning of the data on the isotropic-volume distance D_V, we compute the LP by taking the average value and the root-mean-square of y_{LP} estimates from four different choices of the correlation function data set. Using synthetic data we have verified that this procedure reduces the error to $0.2 - 0.4\%$ (see [20]). A dedicated data analysis of the SDSS data providing correlation function measurements in smaller bins is indeed necessary to remove this source of bias as well as the statistical uncertainties. Nonetheless, our results clearly demonstrate that cosmic distances can be inferred without relying heavily on a fiducial cosmology, using model-dependent templates, or having to manipulate the data so as to remove cosmology dependent non-linear effects.

In a parallel study, we will present a more in-depth analysis of the use of the LP as a standard ruler for future galaxy surveys such as LSST, Euclid, DESI and WFIRST [20]. These datasets will provide percent precision measurements of the galaxy correlation function at BAO scales. This will enable a determination of cosmic distances that are up to twice as precise as current estimates. However, these measurements will also be more sensitive to non-linear effects. Here, we have shown that the LP provides a simple and clean standard ruler that avoids many of the limitations of standard BAO methods. This may also be of interest for BAO measurements in 21-cm intensity maps that suffer from poor angular resolution, which broadens the correlation function on BAO scales, but may leave the LP unaffected [24].

Several lines of investigation are currently in progress to test the validity of the LP below 1%, explore statistical and systematics errors, optimize the LP extraction and explore new applications to estimate the growth of structure.

Acknowledgments. SA and GDS are supported by a Department of Energy grant DE-SC0009946 to the particle astrophysics theory group at CWRU. The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Community Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013 Grant Agreement no. 279954) ERC-StG "EDECS". IZ is supported by National Science Foundation grant AST-1612085.

* stefano.anselmi@iap.fr

[1] T. Shanks, R. Fong, B. J. Boyle, and B. A. Peterson, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **227**, 739 (1987).
[2] D. J. Eisenstein, W. Hu, and M. Tegmark, Astrophys. J. Lett. **504**, L57 (1998), astro-ph/9805239.
[3] B. A. Bassett and R. Hlozek (2009), 0910.5224.
[4] D. J. Eisenstein, I. Zehavi, D. W. Hogg, R. Scoccimarro, M. R. Blanton, R. C. Nichol, R. Scranton, H.-J. Seo, M. Tegmark, Z. Zheng, et al., Astrophys. J. **633**, 560 (2005), astro-ph/0501171.
[5] M. Crocce and R. Scoccimarro, Phys. Rev. **D77**, 023533 (2008), 0704.2783.
[6] R. E. Smith, R. Scoccimarro, and R. K. Sheth, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 043525 (2008), astro-ph/0703620.
[7] Y. Rasera, P.-S. Corasaniti, J.-M. Alimi, V. Bouillot, V. Reverdy, and I. Balmès, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **440**, 1420 (2014), 1311.5662.
[8] S. Anselmi and M. Pietroni, JCAP **1212**, 013 (2012), 1205.2235.
[9] R. Angulo, C. Baugh, C. Frenk, and C. Lacey, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **383**, 755 (2008), astro-ph/0702543.
[10] S. Anselmi, G. D. Starkman, and R. K. Sheth, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **455**, 2474 (2016), 1508.01170.
[11] H.-J. Seo, E. R. Siegel, D. J. Eisenstein, and M. White, Astrophys. J. **686**, 13 (2008), 0805.0117.
[12] D. J. Eisenstein, H.-J. Seo, E. Sirko, and D. N. Spergel, Astrophys. J. **664**, 675 (2007), astro-ph/0604362.
[13] A. G. Sánchez, M. Crocce, A. Cabré, C. M. Baugh, and E. Gaztañaga, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **400**, 1643 (2009), 0901.2570.
[14] N. Padmanabhan, X. Xu, D. J. Eisenstein, R. Scalzo, A. J. Cuesta, K. T. Mehta, and E. Kazin, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **427**, 2132 (2012), 1202.0090.
[15] R. E. Smith, R. Scoccimarro, and R. K. Sheth, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 063512 (2007), astro-ph/0609547.
[16] X. Xu, N. Padmanabhan, D. J. Eisenstein, K. T. Mehta, and A. J. Cuesta, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **427**, 2146 (2012), 1202.0091.
[17] A. G. Sanchez, C. Scoccola, A. Ross, W. Percival, M. Manera, et al., Mon.Not.Roy.Astron.Soc. **425**, 415 (2012), 1203.6616.
[18] X. Xu, A. J. Cuesta, N. Padmanabhan, D. J. Eisenstein, and C. K. McBride, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **431**, 2834 (2013), 1206.6732.
[19] A. J. Cuesta, M. Vargas-Magaña, F. Beutler, A. S. Bolton, J. R. Brownstein, D. J. Eisenstein, H. Gil-Marín, S. Ho, C. K. McBride, C. Maraston, et al., Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **457**, 1770 (2016), 1509.06371.
[20] S. Anselmi, G. D. Starkman, P.-S. Corasaniti, R. K. Sheth, and I. Zehavi (2017), To Appear.
[21] Planck Collaboration, P. A. R. Ade, N. Aghanim, M. Arnaud, M. Ashdown, J. Aumont, C. Baccigalupi, A. J. Banday, R. B. Barreiro, J. G. Bartlett, et al., A&A **594**, A13 (2016), 1502.01589.
[22] A. Lewis, A. Challinor, and A. Lasenby, Astrophys. J. **538**, 473 (2000), astro-ph/9911177.
[23] S. Alam, M. Ata, S. Bailey, F. Beutler, D. Bizyaev, J. A. Blazek, A. S. Bolton, J. R. Brownstein, A. Burden, C.-H. Chuang, et al., ArXiv e-prints (2016), 1607.03155.
[24] F. Villaescusa-Navarro, D. Alonso, and M. Viel, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **466**, 2736 (2017), 1609.00019.